## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

DOUGLAS S. HOLLEY (#79586)

**CIVIL ACTION** 

**VERSUS** 

BOSSIER PARISH SHERIFF'S OFFICE, ET AL.

NO. 17-0091-JWD-EWD

## **NOTICE**

Please take notice that the attached Magistrate Judge's Report has been filed with the Clerk of the United States District Court.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), you have fourteen (14) days after being served with the attached Report to file written objections to the proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and recommendations therein. Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendations within 14 days after being served will bar you, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions of the Magistrate Judge which have been accepted by the District Court.

ABSOLUTELY NO EXTENSION OF TIME SHALL BE GRANTED TO FILE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT.

Signed in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on June 8, 2017.

**ERIN WILDER-DOOMES** 

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

**DOUGLAS S. HOLLEY (#79586)** 

**CIVIL ACTION** 

**VERSUS** 

BOSSIER PARISH SHERIFF'S OFFICE, ET AL.

NO. 17-0091-JWD-EWD

## MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pro se Plaintiff, a person confined at the Bossier Parish Maximum Security Facility in Plain Dealing, Louisiana, filed this proceeding pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the Bossier Parish Sheriff's Office, Lt. Anderson, Sgt. Porter, unidentified state court judges in the 26<sup>th</sup> Judicial District, and "all parties responsible for the violation of [his] rights." Plaintiff complains of deprivations allegedly occurring at his place of confinement, including the denial of adequate hygienic supplies and the denial of access to the prison law library, and also of deficiencies allegedly occurring in connection with his pending criminal proceedings, including, *inter alia*, unreasonable delays, the setting of excessive bail, and inadequate legal assistance.

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2), a civil action may generally be brought in a judicial district in which any defendant resides or in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred. Further, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a) and 1406(a), a case filed in a Court of improper or inconvenient venue may be transferred, in the interest of justice and for the convenience of the parties and witnesses, to a district in which it could have been brought. In the instant case, inasmuch as Plaintiff and defendants reside in the Western District of Louisiana, inasmuch as Plaintiff's claims relate to events occurring entirely in the Western District, and inasmuch as the principal witnesses related to Plaintiff's claims may be

located in the Western District, the Court believes that it is in the interest of justice to transfer this case to the Western District of Louisiana where the evidence and witnesses will more likely be easily located and produced.

# **RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that this matter be transferred to the Western District of Louisiana for further proceedings. It is further recommended that a determination regarding Petitioner's right to proceed as a pauper herein be deferred to the transferee court for resolution and disposition.

Signed in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on June 8, 2017.

ERIN WILDER-DOOMES

Frin Wilder-x

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE